

Evaluation Report proficiency test

DLA 33/2017

# **GMO-Screening qualitative:**

5 Samples with positive/negative amounts of 35S, NOS, FMV, CTP2-CP4 EPSPS / GMO-Maize (Bt11, MIR604) and GMO-Soya (RR GTS 40-3-2, RR2 MON89788)

Dienstleistung Lebensmittel Analytik GbR Waldemar-Bonsels-Weg 170 22926 Ahrensburg, Germany

proficiency-testing@dla-lvu.de www.dla-lvu.de

Coordinator of this PT: Dr. Matthias Besler

#### 1<sup>st</sup> Correction 07/11/2017:

The qualitative valuation in chapter 4.1.7 Maize-DNA (Maize-specific) on page 16 has been corrected: In the column "Qualitative Valuation Agreement with Consensus Values" the results are related to 3 consensus values now. By mistake only 2 consensus values were taken previously.

Reprint, also in part, only with written permission from DLA-Ahrensburg Page 1 of 38

# Allgemeine Informationen zur Eignungsprüfung (EP) General Information on the proficiency test (PT)

EP-Anbieter PT-Provider	DLA - Dienstleistung Lebensmittel Analytik GbR Gesellschafter: Dr. Gerhard Wichmann und Dr. Matthias Besler Waldemar-Bonsels-Weg 170, 22926 Ahrensburg, Germany Tel. ++49(0)171-1954375 Fax. ++49(0)4102-9944976 eMail. proficiency-testing@dla-lvu.de
EP-Nummer PT-Number	DLA 33/2017
EP-Koordinator PT-Coordinator	Dr. Matthias Besler
Status des EP-Bericht Status of PT-Report	Abschlussbericht / Final report (24 October 2017) 1. Korrektur / 1st Correction (7 November 2017) Gültig ist die jeweils letzte Version/Korrektur des Berichts. Sie ersetzt alle vorangegangenen Versionen. Only the latest version/correction of the report is valid. It replaces all preceding versions.
EP-Bericht Freigabe PT-Report Authorization	Dr. Matthias Besler (Technischer Leiter / Technical Manager) - gezeichnet / signed M. Besler Dr. Gerhard Wichmann (QM-Beauftragter / Quality Manager) - gezeichnet / signed G. Wichmann Datum / Date: 7 November 2017
Unteraufträge Subcontractors	Die Prüfung der Gehalte, Homogenität und Stabilität von EP-Parametern wird von DLA im Unterauftrag vergeben. The analysis of the content, homogeneity and stability of PT-parameters are subcontracted by DLA.
Vertraulichkeit Confidentiality	Die Teilnehmerergebnisse sind im EP-Bericht in anonymisierter Form mit Auswertenummern benannt. Daten einzelner Teilnehmer werden ausschließlich nach vorheriger Zustimmung des Teilnehmers an Dritte weitergegeben. Participant result are named anonymously with evaluation numbers in the PT report. Data of individual participants will be passed on to third parties only with prior consent of the participant.

# Inhalt / Content

	Introduction4	
2.	Realisation4	
	2.1 Test material4	
	2.1.1 Homogeneity	
	2.1.2 Stability	
	2.2 Sample shipment and information to the test	
	2.3 Submission of results	7
3.	Evaluation	3
	3.1 Agreement with consensus values from participants	3
	3.2 Agreement with spiking of samples	3
4.	Results	
	4.1 Proficiency Test10	)
	4.1.1 Results: 35S-Screening-Sequence	C
	4.1.2 Results: NOS-Screening-Sequence11	L
	4.1.3 Results: FMV-Screening-Sequence	2
	4.1.4 Results: CTP2-CP4 EPSPS-Screening-Sequence	3
	4.1.5 Results: GMO-Maize Bt1114	1
	4.1.6 Results: GMO-Maize MIR60415	5
	4.1.7 Results: Maize-DNA (Maize-specific)	5
	4.1.8 Results: GMO-Soya RR17	7
	4.1.9 Results: GMO-Soya RR218	3
	4.1.10 Results: Lectin-DNA (Soya-specific)	Э
	4.1.11 Results: Other Parameters (DNA)	
5.	Documentation	L
	5.1 Details by the participants	L
	5.1.1 35S-Screening Sequence	2
	5.1.2 NOS-Screening Sequence	
	5.1.3 FMV-Screening Sequence	
	5.1.4 CTP2-CP4 EPSPS-Screening Sequence	
	5.1.5 GMO-Maize (Bt11)	
	5.1.6 GMO-Maize (MIR604)27	7
	5.1.7 Maize-DNA (Maize-specific)	3
	5.1.8 GMO-Soya RR (GTS 40-3-2)	Э
	5.1.9 GMO-Soya RR2 (MON89788)	
	5.1.10 Lectin DNA (Soya-specific)	
	5.1.11 Other DNA-Sequences	
	5.2 Homogeneity	
	5.2.1 Mixture homogeneity before bottling	
	5.3 Information on the Proficiency Test (PT)	
6.	Index of participant laboratories	
7.		

# 1. Introduction

The participation in proficiency testing schemes is an essential element of the quality-management-system of every laboratory testing food and feed, cosmetics and food contact materials. The implementation of proficiency tests enables the participating laboratories to prove their own analytical competence under realistic conditions. At the same time they receive valuable data regarding the verification and/or validation of the particular testing method [1, 5].

The purpose of DLA is to offer proficiency tests for selected parameters in concentrations with practical relevance.

Realisation and evaluation of the present proficiency test follows the technical requirements of DIN EN ISO/IEC 17043 (2010) and DIN ISO 13528:2009 / ISO 13528:2015 [2, 3].

# 2. Realisation

#### 2.1 Test material

The test materials are 5 different mixtures of common in commerce food mixtures from European, US-American and Asian suppliers (s. table 1). The raw materials were crushed, sieved (mesh <250  $\mu$ m to <600  $\mu$ m), mixed and homogenized. The composition of the samples is given in table 1.

Before homogenization microtracer particles were added in order to check the accuracy of mixing. After homogenization during bottling aliquots were taken for microtracer analysis (s. 2.1.1).

After homogenisation the samples were portioned to approximately 10 g into metallised PET film bags.

#### Table 1: Composition of DLA-Samples

DLA- Sample	Ingredients (per 100 g)	GMO-Con- tent Maize	GMO-Con- tent Soya
1	Wheat flour Type 405 (80 g) Ingredients: Wheat Nutrients per 100 g: Protein 10 g, Carbohydrates 72 g, Fat 1,1 g	-	-
	Maize Semolina, European-Supplier (13 g) Ingredients: Maize Flour Nutrients per 100 g: Protein 7,5 g, Carbohydrates 74 g, Fat 1 g	-	-
	Maize Flour, USA-Supplier (6,6 g) Ingredients: Maize Flour Nutrients per 100 g: Protein 9 g, Carbohydrates 79 g, Fat 0 g	positive (GM-Maize experimental)	-
2	Wheat flour Type 405 (80 g) Ingredients: Wheat Nutrients per 100 g: Protein 10 g, Carbohydrates 72 g, Fat 1,1 g	-	-
	Maize Semolina, European-Supplier (10 g) Ingredients: Maize Flour Nutrients per 100 g: Protein 7,5 g, Carbohydrates 74 g, Fat 1 g	-	-
	Soya Flour, European Supplier (10 g) Ingredients: Soya flour toasted Nutrients per 100 g: Protein 37 g		-
3	Wheat flour Type 405 (90 g) Ingredients: Wheat Nutrients per 100 g: Protein 10 g, Carbohydrates 72 g, Fat 1,1 g	-	-
	Soya Flour, European Supplier (7,5 g) Ingredients: Soya flour toasted Nutrients per 100 g: Protein 37 g	-	-
	Soya Chunks, USA-Supplier (2,5 g) Ingredients: Soybean Flour Nutrients per 100 g: Protein 47 g, Carbohydrates 17 g, Fat 0,8 g	-	positive (GM-Soya experimental)
4	Wheat flour Type 405 (90 g) Ingredients: Wheat Nutrients per 100 g: Protein 10 g, Carbohydrates 72 g, Fat 1,1 g	-	-
	Soya Flour, European Supplier (15 g) Ingredients: Soya flour toasted Nutrients per 100 g:	-	-
	Protein 37 g Soybeans, Asian Supplier (5,0 g) Ingredient: Soybeans	-	positive (GM-Soya experimental)
5	Wheat flour Type 405 (100 g) Ingredients: Wheat Nutrients per 100 g: Protein 10 g, Carbohydrates 72 g, Fat 1,1 g	-	-

**Note:** The metrological traceability of temperature, mass and volume during production of the PT samples is ensured by DAkkS calibrated reference materials.

Reprint, also in part, only with written permission from DLA-Ahrensburg Page 5 of 38

#### 2.1.1 Homogeneity

The **mixture homogeneity before bottling** was examined 8-fold by **micro-tracer analysis.** It is a standardized method that is part of the international GMP certification system for feed [14].

Before mixing dye coated iron particles of  $\mu$ m size are added to the sample and the number of particles is determined after homogenization in taken aliquots. The evaluation of the mixture homogeneity is based on the Poisson distribution using the chi-square test. A probability of  $\geq$  5 % is equivalent to a good homogeneous mixture and of  $\geq$  25% to an excellent mixture [14, 15].

The microtracer analysis of the present PT samples 1-4 showed probabilities of 70% to 99%. Additionally particle number results were converted into concentrations, statistically evaluated according to normal distribution and compared to the standard deviation according to Horwitz. This gave HorRat values of 0,48 to 1,1. The results of microtracer analysis are given in the documentation. The PT sample 5 was not tested by microtracer analysis, because it contained neither soy nor maize products.

#### 2.1.2 Stability

The experience with various DLA reference materials showed good storage stability with respect to the durability of the samples (spoilage) and the content of EP-parameters (allergens) in a comparable matrix and water activity ( $a_W$  value <0.5). The stability of sample material is therefore given during the investigation period under consideration of given storage conditions.

#### 2.2 Sample shipment and information to the test

The portions of the test materials (sample 1 to 5) were sent to every participating laboratory in the  $22^{nd}$  week of 2017. The testing method was optional. The tests should be finished at July  $14^{th}$  2017 the latest.

With the cover letter along with the sample shipment the following information was given to participants:

DLA 33-2017 - GMO-Screening qualitative: 5 Samples with positive/negative amounts of Screening Targets 35S, NOS, FMV, CTP2-CP4 EPSPS / GMO-Maize (Bt11, MIR604) and GMO-Soya (RR GTS 40-3-2, RR2 MON89788) There are 5 different test samples which possibly contain the mentioned parameters. The indication of results and evaluation will be done exclusively qualitative (positive/negative). Results for specific sequences,

screening sequences and other events can be analysed.

#### Please note the attached information on the proficiency test.

(see documentation, section 5.3 Information on the PT)

#### 2.3 Submission of results

The participants submitted their results in standard forms, which have been sent by email or were available on our website. The results given as positive/negative were evaluated.

Queried and documented were the indicated results and details of the test methods like specificities, test kit manufacturer and hints about the procedure.

In case participants submitted several results for the same parameter obtained by different methods these results were evaluated with the same evaluation number with a letter as a suffix and indication of the related method.

All 22 participants submitted at least one result in time.

# 3. Evaluation

The evaluation of the GMO-screening proficiency test was done exclusively qualitative.

The results are presented for all 5 test samples in separate tables for each parameter 35S, NOS, FMN, CTP2-CP4 EPSPS, GMO-Maize Bt11, GMO-Maize MIR604, Maize-DNA and GMO-Soya RR (GTS 40-3-2), GMO-Soya RR2 (MON89788), Lectin-DNA and other DNA.

#### 3.1 Agreement with consensus values from participants

The qualitative evaluation of the results of each participant was based on the agreement of the indicated results (positive or negative) with the **consensus values from participants**. A consensus value is determined unless  $\geq$  75% positive or negative results are present for a parameter. The assessment will be in the form that the number of matching results followed by the number of samples for which a consensus value was obtained is indicated. Behind that the agreement is expressed as the percentage in parentheses.

#### 3.2 Agreement with spiking of samples

The qualitative evaluation of the results of each participant was based on the agreement of the indicated results (positive or negative) with the **spiking of the five PT-samples**. A consensus value is determined unless  $\geq$  75% positive or negative results are present for a parameter. The assessment will be in the form that the number of matching results followed by the number of samples is indicated. Behind that the agreement is expressed as the percentage in parentheses.

# 4. Results

All following tables are anonymized. With the delivering of the evaluation-report the participants are informed about their individual evaluation-number.

The participant results and evaluation are tabulated as follows:

Evaluation number	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4	Sample 5	Qualitative Valuation	Qualitative Valuation	Remarks
	pos/neg	pos/neg	pos/neg	pos/neg	pos/neg	Agreement with consensus value	Agreement with spiking of samples	

	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4	Sample 5
Number positive					
Number negative					
Percent positive					
Percent negative					
Consensus value					
Spiking					

# 4.1 Proficiency Test

#### 4.1.1 Results: 35S-Screening-Sequence

Evaluation number	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4	Sample 5	Qualitative Valuation	Qualitative Valuation	Remarks
35S	pos/neg	pos/neg	pos/neg	pos/neg	pos/neg	Agreement with consensus value	Agreement with spi- king of samples	
1	positive	negative	positive	positive	negative	5/5 (100%)	5/5 (100%)	
2	positive	negative	positive	positive	negative	5/5 (100%)	5/5 (100%)	
3	positive	negative	positive	negative	negative	4/5 (80%)	4/5 (80%)	
4	positive	negative	positive	positive	negative	5/5 (100%)	5/5 (100%)	
5	positive	negative	positive	positive	negative	5/5 (100%)	5/5 (100%)	
6	positive	negative	positive	positive	negative	5/5 (100%)	5/5 (100%)	
7	positive	negative	positive	positive	negative	5/5 (100%)	5/5 (100%)	
8	positive	negative	positive	positive	negative	5/5 (100%)	5/5 (100%)	
9	positive	negative	positive	positive	negative	5/5 (100%)	5/5 (100%)	
10	positive	negative	positive	positive	negative	5/5 (100%)	5/5 (100%)	
11	positive	negative	positive	positive	negative	5/5 (100%)	5/5 (100%)	
12	positive	negative	positive	positive	negative	5/5 (100%)	5/5 (100%)	
13	positive	negative	positive	positive	negative	5/5 (100%)	5/5 (100%)	
14	positive	negative	positive	positive	negative	5/5 (100%)	5/5 (100%)	
15	positive	negative	positive	positive	negative	5/5 (100%)	5/5 (100%)	
16	positive	negative	positive	positive	negative	5/5 (100%)	5/5 (100%)	
17	positive	negative	positive	negative	negative	4/5 (80%)	4/5 (80%)	
18	positive	negative	positive	negative	negative	4/5 (80%)	4/5 (80%)	
19	positive	negative	positive	positive	negative	5/5 (100%)	5/5 (100%)	
20	positive	negative	positive	positive	negative	5/5 (100%)	5/5 (100%)	
21	positive	negative	negative	positive	negative	4/5 (80%)	4/5 (80%)	
22	positive	negative	positive	positive	negative	5/5 (100%)	5/5 (100%)	

	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4	Sample 5
Number positive	22	0	21	19	0
Number negative	er negative ()		1	3	22
Percent positive	100	0	95	86	0
Percent negative	0	100	5	14	100
Consensus value	positive	negative	positive	positive	negative
Spiking	positive	negative	positive	positive	negative

#### Comments on results:

For all 5 samples consensus values with three times 100%, 95% and 86% positive or negative results were obtained, respectively. The consensus values are in agreement with the addition of the GMOcontaining ingredients (spiking).

Evaluation number	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4	Sample 5	Qualitative Valuation	Qualitative Valuation	Remarks
NOS	pos/neg	pos/neg	pos/neg	pos/neg	pos/neg	Agreement with consensus value	Agreement with spi- king of samples	
1	positive	negative	positive	negative	negative	4/5 (80%)	4/5 (80%)	
2	positive	negative	positive	positive	negative	5/5 (100%)	5/5 (100%)	
3	positive	negative	positive	positive	negative	4/5 (80%)	4/5 (80%)	
4	positive	negative	positive	positive	negative	5/5 (100%)	5/5 (100%)	
5	positive	negative	positive	positive	negative	5/5 (100%)	5/5 (100%)	
6	positive	negative	positive	negative	negative	4/5 (80%)	4/5 (80%)	
7	positive	negative	positive	positive	negative	5/5 (100%)	5/5 (100%)	
8	positive	negative	positive	positive	negative	5/5 (100%)	5/5 (100%)	
9	positive	negative	positive	positive	negative	5/5 (100%)	5/5 (100%)	
10	positive	positive	positive	positive	negative	4/5 (80%)	4/5 (80%)	
11	positive	negative	positive	positive	negative	5/5 (100%)	5/5 (100%)	
12	positive	negative	positive	positive	negative	5/5 (100%)	5/5 (100%)	
13	positive	negative	positive	positive	negative	5/5 (100%)	5/5 (100%)	
14	positive	negative	positive	positive	negative	5/5 (100%)	5/5 (100%)	
15	positive	negative	positive	positive	negative	5/5 (100%)	5/5 (100%)	
16	positive	negative	positive	positive	negative	5/5 (100%)	5/5 (100%)	
17	positive	negative	positive	negative	negative	4/5 (80%)	4/5 (80%)	
18	positive	negative	positive	negative	negative	4/5 (80%)	4/5 (80%)	
19	positive	negative	positive	positive	negative	5/5 (100%)	5/5 (100%)	
20	positive	negative	positive	positive	negative	5/5 (100%)	5/5 (100%)	
21	positive	negative	negative	negative	negative	3/5 (60%)	3/5 (60%)	
22	positive	negative	positive	positive	negative	5/5 (100%)	5/5 (100%)	

# 4.1.2 Results: NOS-Screening-Sequence

	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4	Sample 5
Number positive	22	1	21	17	0
Number negative	0	21	1	5	22
Percent positive	100	5	95	77	0
Percent negative	0	95	5	23	100
Consensus value	positive	negative	positive	positive	negative
Spiking	positive	negative	positive	positive	negative

#### <u>Comments on results:</u>

For all 5 samples consensus values with two times 100%, two times 95% and 77% positive or negative results were obtained, respectively. The consensus values are in agreement with the addition of the GMOcontaining ingredients (spiking).

Evaluation number	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4	Sample 5	Qualitative Valuation	Qualitative Valuation	Remarks
FMV	pos/neg	pos/neg	pos/neg	pos/neg	pos/neg	Agreement with consensus value	Agreement with spi- king of samples	
1	negative	negative	positive	positive	negative	5/5 (100%)	5/5 (100%)	
2	positive	negative	positive	positive	negative	4/5 (80%)	4/5 (80%)	
3	negative	negative	positive	positive	negative	5/5 (100%)	5/5 (100%)	
4	-	-	-	-	-			
5	negative	negative	positive	positive	negative	5/5 (100%)	5/5 (100%)	
6	negative	negative	negative	positive	negative	4/5 (80%)	4/5 (80%)	
7	negative	negative	positive	positive	negative	5/5 (100%)	5/5 (100%)	
8	negative	negative	positive	positive	negative	5/5 (100%)	5/5 (100%)	
9	negative	negative	positive	positive	negative	5/5 (100%)	5/5 (100%)	
10	negative	negative	positive	positive	negative	5/5 (100%)	5/5 (100%)	
11	negative	negative	positive	positive	negative	5/5 (100%)	5/5 (100%)	
12	negative	negative	positive	positive	negative	5/5 (100%)	5/5 (100%)	
13	negative	negative	positive	positive	negative	5/5 (100%)	5/5 (100%)	
14	negative	negative	positive	positive	negative	5/5 (100%)	5/5 (100%)	
15	negative	negative	positive	positive	negative	5/5 (100%)	5/5 (100%)	
16	negative	negative	negative	positive	negative	4/5 (80%)	4/5 (80%)	
17	-	-	-	-	-			
18	-	-	-	-	-			
19	-	-	-	-	-			
20	negative	negative	positive	positive	negative	5/5 (100%)	5/5 (100%)	
21	negative	negative	negative	positive	negative	4/5 (80%)	4/5 (80%)	
22	negative	negative	positive	positive	negative	5/5 (100%)	5/5 (100%)	

# 4.1.3 Results: FMV-Screening-Sequence

	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4	Sample 5
Number positive	1	0	15	18	0
Number negative	17	18	3	0	18
Percent positive	6	0	83	100	0
Percent negative	94	100	17	0	100
Consensus value	negative	negative	positive	positive	negative
Spiking	negative	negative	positive	positive	negative

#### Comments on results:

For all 5 samples consensus values with three times 100%, 94% and 83% positive or negative results were obtained, respectively. The consensus values are in agreement with the addition of the GMO-containing ingredients (spiking).

Evaluation number	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4	Sample 5	Qualitative Valuation	Qualitative Valuation	Remarks
CTP2-CP4 EPSPS	pos/neg	pos/neg	pos/neg	pos/neg	pos/neg	Agreement with consensus value	Agreement with spi- king of samples	
1	positive	negative	positive	positive	negative	5/5 (100%)	5/5 (100%)	
2	-	-	-	-	-			
3	positive	negative	positive	positive	negative	5/5 (100%)	5/5 (100%)	
4	-	-	-	-	-			
5	positive	negative	positive	positive	negative	5/5 (100%)	5/5 (100%)	
6	-	-	-	-	-			
7	positive	negative	positive	positive	negative	5/5 (100%)	5/5 (100%)	
8	-	-	-	-	-			
9	-	-	-	-	-			
10	positive	negative	positive	positive	negative	5/5 (100%)	5/5 (100%)	
11	-	-	-	-	-			
12	positive	negative	positive	positive	negative	5/5 (100%)	5/5 (100%)	
13	-	-	-	-	-			
14	positive	negative	positive	positive	negative	5/5 (100%)	5/5 (100%)	
15	-	-	-	-	-			
16	positive	negative	positive	positive	negative	5/5 (100%)	5/5 (100%)	
17	-	-	-	-	-			
18	-	-	-	-	-			
19	positive	negative	positive	positive	negative	5/5 (100%)	5/5 (100%)	
20	positive	negative	positive	positive	negative	5/5 (100%)	5/5 (100%)	
21	-	-	-	-	-			
22	-	-	-	-	-			

# 4.1.4 Results: CTP2-CP4 EPSPS-Screening-Sequence

	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4	Sample 5
Number positive	10	0	10	10	0
Number negative	0	10	0	0	10
Percent positive	tive 100 0		100	100	0
Percent negative	0	100	0	0	100
Consensus value	value negative negativ		positive	positive	negative
Spiking	negative	negative	positive	positive	negative

#### <u>Comments on results:</u>

For all 5 samples consensus values with 100% positive or negative results were obtained.

The consensus values are in agreement with the addition of the GMO-containing ingredients (spiking).

Evaluation number	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4	Sample 5	Qualitative Valuation	Qualitative Valuation	Remarks
GMO-Maize (bt11)	pos/neg	pos/neg	pos/neg	pos/neg	pos/neg	Agreement with consensus value	Agreement with spi- king of samples	
1	positive	negative	negative	negative	negative	5/5 (100%)	5/5 (100%)	
2	positive	negative	negative	negative	negative	5/5 (100%)	5/5 (100%)	
3	-	-	-	-	-			
4	positive	negative	negative	negative	negative	5/5 (100%)	5/5 (100%)	
5	positive	negative	negative	negative	negative	5/5 (100%)	5/5 (100%)	
6	-	-	-	-	-			
7	positive	negative	negative	negative	negative	5/5 (100%)	5/5 (100%)	
8	-	-	-	-	-			
9	-	-	-	-	-			
10	-	-	-	-	-			
11	-	-	-	-	-			
12	-	-	-	-	-			
13	-	-	-	-	-			
14	positive	negative	negative	negative	negative	5/5 (100%)	5/5 (100%)	
15	-	-	-	-	-			
16	-	-	-	-	-			
17	-	-	-	-	-			
18	-	-	-	-	-			
19	-	-	-	-	-			
20	-	-	-	-	-			
21	positive	negative	negative	negative	negative	5/5 (100%)	5/5 (100%)	
22	-	-	-	-	-			

# 4.1.5 Results: GMO-Maize Bt11

	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4	Sample 5
Number positive	7	0	0	0	0
Number negative	0	7	7	7	7
Percent positive	100	0	0	0	0
Percent negative	0	100	100	100	100
Consensus value	positive	negative	negative	negative	negative
Spiking	iking positive neg		negative	negative	negative

#### Comments on results:

For all 5 samples consensus values with 100% positive or negative results were obtained. The consensus values are in agreement with the addition of the GMO-con-

taining ingredients (spiking).

Evaluation number	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4	Sample 5	Qualitative Valuation	Qualitative Valuation	Remarks
GMO-Maize (MIR604)	pos/neg	pos/neg	pos/neg	pos/neg	pos/neg	Agreement with consensus value	Agreement with spi- king of samples	
1	-	-	-	-	-			
2	-	-	-	-	-			
3	-	-	-	-	-			
4	positive	negative	negative	negative	negative	5/5 (100%)	5/5 (100%)	
5	positive	negative	negative	negative	negative	5/5 (100%)	5/5 (100%)	
6	-	-	-	-	-			
7	positive	negative	negative	negative	negative	5/5 (100%)	5/5 (100%)	
8	-	-	-	-	-			
9	-	-	-	-	-			
10	-	-	-	-	-			
11	-	-	-	-	-			
12	-	-	-	-	-			
13	-	-	-	-	-			
14	positive	negative	negative	negative	negative	5/5 (100%)	5/5 (100%)	
15	-	-	-	-	-			
16	-	-	-	-	-			
17	-	-	-	-	-			
18	-	-	-	-	-			
19	-	-	-	-	-			
20	-	-	-	-	-			
21	positive	negative	negative	negative	negative	5/5 (100%)	5/5 (100%)	
22	-	-	-	-	-			

# 4.1.6 Results: GMO-Maize MIR604

	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4	Sample 5
Number positive	5	0	0	0	0
Number negative	0	5	5	5	5
Percent positive	sitive 100 0		0	0	0
Percent negative	0	100	100	100	100
Consensus value	us value positive nega		negative	negative	negative
Spiking	iking positive ne		negative	negative	negative

#### <u>Comments on results:</u>

For all 5 samples consensus values with 100% positive or negative results were obtained.

The consensus values are in agreement with the addition of the GMO-containing ingredients (spiking).

Evaluation number	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4	Sample 5	Qualitative Valuation	Qualitative Valuation	Remarks
Maize	pos/neg	pos/neg	pos/neg	pos/neg	pos/neg	Agreement with consensus value	Agreement with spi- king of samples	
1	positive	positive	positive	positive	negative	3/3 (100%)	3/5 (60%)	
2	negative	negative	negative	negative	negative	1/3 (33%)	3/5 (60%)	no positive sample identi- fied
3	-	-	-	-	-			
4	-	-	-	-	-			
5	positive	positive	negative	negative	negative	3/3 (100%)	5/5 (100%)	
6	-	-	-	-	-			
7	positive	positive	positive	positive	negative	3/3 (100%)	3/5 (60%)	
8	-	-	-	-	-			
9	-	-	-	-	-			
10	-	-	-	-	-			
11	-	-	-	-	-			
12	positive	positive	positive	positive	negative	3/3 (100%)	3/5 (60%)	
13	-	-	-	-	-			
14	positive	positive	negative	negative	negative	3/3 (100%)	5/5 (100%)	
15	-	-	-	-	-			
16	-	-	-	-	-			
17	-	-	-	-	-			
18	-	-	-	-	-			
19	positive	positive	positive	positive	negative	3/3 (100%)	3/5 (60%)	
20	-	-	-	-	-			
21	negative	negative	negative	positive	negative	1/3 (33%)	2/5 (40%)	no positive sample identi- fied
22	-	-	-	-	-			

# 4.1.7 Results: Maize-DNA (Maize-specific)

	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4	Sample 5
Number positive	6	6	4	5	0
Number negative	2	2	4	3	8
Percent positive	75	75	50	63	0
Percent negative	25	25	50	38	100
Consensus value	positive	positive	none	none	negative
Spiking	positive	positive	negative	negative	negative

#### <u>Comments on results:</u>

For samples 1, 2 and 5 consensus values with two times 75% positive and one time 100% negative results were obtained, respectively. The consensus values are in agreement with the addition of the maize-containing ingredients (spiking). For samples 3 and 4 no consensus values of  $\geq$ 75% positive or negative results were obtained.

#### 4.1.8 Results: GMO-Soya RR

Evaluation number	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4	Sample 5	Qualitative Valuation	Qualitative Valuation	Remarks
GMO-Soya (RR)	pos/neg	pos/neg	pos/neg	pos/neg	pos/neg	Agreement with consensus value	Agreement with spi- king of samples	
1	negative	negative	positive	positive	negative	5/5 (100%)	5/5 (100%)	
2	negative	negative	positive	negative	negative	4/5 (100%)	4/5 (100%)	
3	-	-	-	-	-			
4	negative	negative	positive	positive	negative	5/5 (100%)	5/5 (100%)	
5	negative	negative	positive	positive	negative	5/5 (100%)	5/5 (100%)	
6	-	-	-	-	-			
7	negative	negative	positive	positive	negative	5/5 (100%)	5/5 (100%)	
8	-	-	-	-	-			
9	-	-	-	-	-			
10	negative	-	positive	-	-	2/2 (100%)	2/2 (100%)	
11	-	-	-	-	-			
12	negative	negative	positive	positive	negative	5/5 (100%)	5/5 (100%)	
13	negative	-	positive	positive	-	3/3 (100%)	3/3 (100%)	
14	negative	negative	positive	positive	negative	5/5 (100%)	5/5 (100%)	
15	negative	negative	positive	positive	negative	5/5 (100%)	5/5 (100%)	
16	-	-	-	-	-			
17	-	-	-	-	-			
18	-	-	-	-	-			
19	-	-	-	-	-			
20	-	-	-	-	-			
21	positive	negative	negative	negative	negative	2/5 (40%)	2/5 (40%)	no positive sample identi- fied
22	negative	negative	positive	positive	-	4/4 (100%)	4/4 (100%)	

	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4	Sample 5
Number positive	1	0	11	9	0
Number negative	11	10	1	2	9
Percent positive	positive 8 C		92	82	0
Percent negative	92	100	8	18	100
Consensus value	sus value negative negati		positive	positive	negative
Spiking	negative	negative	positive	positive	negative

#### <u>Comments on results:</u>

For all 5 samples consensus values with two times 100% and 92%, respectively, and one time 82% positive or negative results were obtained, respectively. The consensus values are in agreement with the addition of the GMO-containing ingredients (spiking).

Evaluation number	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4	Sample 5	Qualitative Valuation	Qualitative Valuation	Remarks
GMO-Soya (RR2)	pos/neg	pos/neg	pos/neg	pos/neg	pos/neg	Agreement with consensus value	Agreement with spi- king of samples	
1	negative	negative	positive	positive	negative	5/5 (100%)	5/5 (100%)	
2	-	-	-	-	-			
3	-	-	-	-	-			
4	negative	negative	positive	positive	negative	5/5 (100%)	5/5 (100%)	
5	negative	negative	positive	positive	negative	5/5 (100%)	5/5 (100%)	
6	-	-	-	-	-			
7	negative	negative	positive	positive	negative	5/5 (100%)	5/5 (100%)	
8	-	-	-	-	-			
9	-	-	-	-	-			
10	negative	-	positive	-	-	2/2 (100%)	2/2 (100%)	
11	-	-	-	-	-			
12	-	-	-	-	-			
13	negative	-	positive	positive	-	3/3 (100%)	3/3 (100%)	
14	negative	negative	positive	positive	negative	5/5 (100%)	5/5 (100%)	
15	negative	negative	positive	positive	negative	5/5 (100%)	5/5 (100%)	
16	-	-	-	-	-			
17	-	-	-	-	-			
18	-	-	-	-	-			
19	-	-	-	-	-			
20	-	-	-	-	-			
21	negative	negative	negative	positive	negative	4/5 (80%)	4/5 (80%)	
22	negative	negative	positive	positive	-	4/4 (100%)	4/4 (100%)	

# 4.1.9 Results: GMO-Soya RR2

	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4	Sample 5
Number positive	0	0	9	9	0
Number negative	10	8	1	0	7
Percent positive	0	0	90	100	0
Percent negative	100	100	10	0	100
Consensus value	isus value negative negat		positive	positive	negative
Spiking	negative	negative	positive	positive	negative

#### <u>Comments on results:</u>

For all 5 samples consensus values with four times 100% and one time 90% positive or negative results were obtained, respectively. The consensus values are in agreement with the addition of the GMO-containing ingredients (spiking).

Evaluation number	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4	Sample 5	Qualitative Valuation	Qualitative Valuation	Remarks
Lectin	pos/neg	pos/neg	pos/neg	pos/neg	pos/neg	Agreement with consensus value	Agreement with spi- king of samples	
1	positive	positive	positive	positive	positive	3/5 (60%)	3/5 (60%)	
2	negative	negative	positive	positive	negative	5/5 (100%)	5/5 (100%)	
3	-	-	-	-	-			
4	-	-	-	-	-			
5	negative	positive	positive	positive	negative	5/5 (100%)	5/5 (100%)	
6	-	-	-	-	-			
7	-	-	-	-	-			
8	-	-	-	-	-			
9	-	-	-	-	-			
10	-	-	-	-	-			
11	-	-	-	-	-			
12	negative	positive	positive	positive	negative	5/5 (100%)	5/5 (100%)	
13	-	-	positive	positive	-	2/2 (100%)	2/2 (100%)	
14	negative	positive	positive	positive	negative	5/5 (100%)	5/5 (100%)	
15	negative	positive	positive	positive	negative	5/5 (100%)	5/5 (100%)	
16	-	-	-	-	-			
17	-	-	-	-	-			
18	-	-	-	-	-			
19	negative	positive	positive	positive	negative	5/5 (100%)	5/5 (100%)	
20	-	-	-	-	-			
21	-	-	-	-	-			
22	-	-	-	-	-			

#### 4.1.10 Results: Lectin-DNA (Soya-specific)

	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4	Sample 5
Number positive	1	6	8	8	1
Number negative	6	1	0	0	6
Percent positive	14	86	100	100	14
Percent negative	86	14	0	0	86
Consensus value	negative	positive	positive	positive	negative
Spiking	negative	positive	positive	positive	negative

#### Comments on results:

For all 5 samples consensus values with two times 100% and three times 86% positive or negative results were obtained, respectively. The consensus values are in agreement with the addition of the soya-containing ingredients (spiking).

Evaluation number	Parameter	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4	Sample 5	Remarks
	other DNA	pos/neg	pos/neg	pos/neg	pos/neg	pos/neg	
9	AgroBorder II	positive (?)	negative	positive	positive	negative	
3a	BAR	negative	negative	negative	negative	negative	
5a	bar	negative	negative	negative	negative	negative	
10a	BAR	negative	negative	negative	negative	negative	
12	bar	positive	negative	negative	negative	negative	
20a	BAR	negative	negative	negative	negative	negative	
5b	cry1A(b/c)	positive	negative	negative	negative	negative	
12	CrylAb/Ac	positive	negative	negative	negative	negative	
5c	CV127	-	negative	negative	negative	-	
5d	DAS40278	negative	negative	-	-	-	
5e	DP32138	negative	negative	-	-	-	
5f	LY038	negative	negative	-	-	-	
10b	Mon810	positive	negative	negative	negative	-	
5g	MON87427	negative	-	-	-	-	
5h	MON87751	-	-	negative	negative	-	
5i	MON88017	positive	-	-	-	-	
5j	NK603	positive	-	-	-	-	
3b	NPTII	positive	negative	negative	negative	negative	
10c	NPTII	positive	negative	negative	negative	negative	
20b	NPTII	positive	negative	negative	negative	negative	
3c	PAT	positive	negative	negative	negative	negative	
10d	PAT	positive	negative	negative	negative	negative	
20c	PAT	positive	negative	positive	positive	negative	
15	Plant-DNA	positive	positive	positive	positive	positive	
5k	SAMS-HRA	negative	negative	negative	negative	negative	
51	synPAT	positive	negative	negative	negative	negative	
10e	T25	positive	negative	negative	negative	-	

# 4.1.11 Results: Other Parameters (DNA)

October 2017

# 5. Documentation

#### 5.1 Details by the participants

Note: Information given in German was translated by DLA to the best of our knowledge (without guarantee of correctness).

see following pages

Reprint, also in part, only with written permission from DLA-Ahrensburg Page 21 of 38

# 5.1.1 35S-Screening Sequence

Evaluation number	Date of Analysis	Results given as	Limit of Detection	Test-Kit or Literature	Notes to Extraction	Notes to PCR-reaction	Further Remarks
35S	Day/Month	Target-Sequence / -DNA	number of copies / % / ct-value	Manufacturer / Official Method	e.g. Extraction / enzymes / clean-up / DNA quality / DNA amount	e.g real time PCR / gel electrophoresis / cycles / amplificate length / reference material	
1	07.12.17	p35S	< 5 DNA copies/ Ct 36	GEN-IAL/PB-137 ed. III z dnia 15.01.2016	Silica membrane spin technology/proteinase K/ 3- steps of DNA purification/ 1,80-2,0(A260/A280)/100 µl	Real time PCR; 45 cycles/ 82Bp/GEN-IAL reference material(maize- and sovmix)	
2	12.07.17		0,001	In House Method	Magnetic Bead Extraction	Gel Electrophoresis	
3	14.07.17			M.I.114 Rev.0 2017	DNA extraction with commercial Kit	Real time PCR	
4			0,0001				
5	15.06.17		0,0001	in House Method	CTAB-NucleoSpin	RealTime-PCR, 45 cycles	
6	26.06.17			Biotecon	Biotecon foodproof Sample Preparation Kit S 400061; Biotecon foodproof GMO Screening Kit R 30217	RealTime PCR	
7	07.06.17	-	≤ 5 DNA-copies		Extraction with SureFood® PREP Basic Art. No. S1052	-	-
8	04.07.17		<0,1%	in house method, Kit IEH-La- boratory	Silica-column based DNA-extraction	Multiplex-PCR, Gel electrophoresis, 35 cycles	
9			5 copies	Congen, Sure Food GMO Screen 35SNOS/FMV, GenS- cran, GMO Screen RT 35S/NOS/ABII IPC		Real Time PCR	
10	07.06.		5 copies	SureFood® GMO SCREEN 4plex 35S/NOS/FMV+IAC, S2126, R- Biopharm	SureFood <sup>®</sup> PREP Basic, S1052, R-Biopharm		
11	04.06.17	< or > 0.9%	5	R-Biopharm	NucleoSpinFood	real time PCR; CRM: ERM-BF411D	sample 4: positive <0.9%
12	04.07.17	Promotor of Cauliflower Mosa- ic Virus (CaMV35S)	20 haploide Genomcopies	§64 LFGB L 00.00-122 (2008- 06)	Extraction by Maxwell FFS Kit		
13	29/06	35S	ct-value 45	GEN-IAL genControl RT Tri- plex IV 35S/nos/FMV/IC		Real Time PCR, 45 cycles, Referenzmaterial ERM-BF 410 dn	
14	09.06.17			GEN-IAL	FFS-Kit, Promega	Real Time PCR	
15			0,02% (for RR-Soya-DNA)	ASU 00.00 122 / 06 2008	modified CTAB-method with clean-up	RealTime PCR	
16	19.06.17	-	0,01	Biotecon - Screening LvoKit1+2	Biotecon Sample Prep.Kit3	Real Time PCR	Due to the unknown mactrices several events are possible
17	15.06.17			GEN-IAL		Real Time PCR	
18	07.06.17	35S/NOS Screening	30	biotecon	DNA Extraction Biotecon	Real Time PCR Light Cycler	
19	08.06.17				СТАВ	Real-Time PCR	
20	05.06.17	35S	0,001	CONGEN SureFood GMO Screen	Extracted using CONGEN Prep Advanced Kit	Real Time PCR - 45 Cycles	
21	06.06.17	Target-Sequence	50 cycles	Biotecon Diagnostics	foodproof Extraction Kit / Lyo Kit	foodproof Detection Kit, BIOTECON Diagnostics	
22			copies 10 / ct-value 40	in House Method		Real Time PCR	

# 5.1.2 NOS-Screening Sequence

Evaluation number	Date of Analysis	Results given as	Limit of Detection	Test-Kit or Literature	Notes to Extraction	Notes to PCR-reaction	Further Remarks
NOS	Day/Month	Target-Sequence / -DNA	number of copies / % / ct-value	Manufacturer / Official Method	e.g. Extraction / enzymes / clean-up / DNA quality / DNA amount	e.g real time PCR / gel electrophoresis / cycles / amplificate length / reference material	
1	07.12.17	Tnos	< 5 DNA copies /Ct 36	GEN-IAL/PB-137 ed. III z dnia 15.01.2016	Silica membrane spin technology/proteinase K/ 3- steps of DNA purification/ 1,80-2,0(A260/A280)/100 μl	Real time PCR; 45 cycles/ 84Bp/Real time PCR; 45 cycles/ 82Bp/GEN-IAL reference material(maize- and sovmix)	
2	13.07.17		0,001	In House Method	Magnetic Bead Extraction	Gel Electrophoresis	
3	14.07.17			M.I.114 Rev.0 2017	DNA extraction with commercial Kit	Real time PCR	
4			0,0001				
5	15.06.17		0,0001	in House Method	CTAB-NucleoSpin	RealTime-PCR, 45 cycles	
6	26.06.17			Biotecon	Biotecon foodproof Sample Preparation Kit S 400061; Biotecon foodproof GMO Screening Kit R 30217	RealTime PCR	
7	07.06.17	-	≤ 5 DNA-copies	SureFood® GMO SCREEN 4plex 35S/NOS/FMV+IAC Art. No. S2126	Extraction with SureFood® PREP Basic Art. No. S1052	-	-
8	04.07.17			in house method, Kit IEH-La- boratory	Silica-column based DNA-extraction	Multiplex-PCR, Gel electrophoresis, 35 cycles	
9			5 copies	Congen, Sure Food GMO Screen 35SNOS/FMV, GenScran, GMO Screen RT 35S/NOS/ABII IPC		Real Time PCR	
10	07.06.		5 copies	SureFood® GMO SCREEN 4plex 35S/NOS/FMV+IAC, S2126, R- Biopharm	SureFood® PREP Basic, S1052, R-Biopharm		
11	04.06.17	< or > 0.9%	5	R-Biopharm	NucleoSpinFood	real time PCR; CRM: ERM-BF411D	sample 4: positive <0.9%
12	04.07.17	Terminator of Agrobacterium tumefaciens (nos)	20 haploid genomic copies	§64 LFGB L 00.00-122 (2008- 06)			
13	29/06	nos	ct-Wert 45	GEN-IAL genControl RT Triplex IV 35S/nos/FMV/IC		Real Time PCR, 45 cycles, Reference material ERM- BF 410 dn	
14	09.06.17			GEN-IAL	FFS-Kit, Promega	Real Time PCR	
15			0,03% (für RR-Soja-DNA)	ASU 00.00 122 / 06 2008	modified CTAB-method with clean-up	RealTime PCR	
16	19.06.17	-	0,01	Biotecon - Screening LyoKit1+2	Biotecon Sample Prep.Kit3	Real Time PCR	Due to the unknown mactrices several events are possible
17	15.06.17			GEN-IAL		Real Time PCR	
18	07.06.17	35S/NOS Screening	31	biotecon	DNA Extraction Biotecon	Real Time PCR Light Cycler	
19	08.06.17				СТАВ	Real-Time PCR	
20	05.06.17	NOS	0,001	CONGEN SureFood GMO Screen	Extracted using CONGEN Prep Advanced Kit	Real Time PCR - 45 Cycles	
21	06.06.17	Target-Sequence	50 cycles	Biotecon Diagnostics	foodproof Extraction Kit / Lyo Kit	foodproof Detection Kit, BIOTECON Diagnostics	
22			copies 10 / ct-Wert 40	in House Method		Real Time PCR	

# 5.1.3 FMV-Screening Sequence

Evaluation number	Date of Analysis	Results given as	Limit of Detection	Test-Kit or Literature	Notes to Extraction	Notes to PCR-reaction	Further Remarks
FMV	Day/Month	Target-Sequence / -DNA	number of copies / % / ct-value	Manufacturer / Official Method	e.g. Extraction / enzymes / clean-up / DNA quality / DNA amount	e.g real time PCR / gel electrophoresis / cycles / amplificate length / reference material	
1	07.12.17	p34S	< 5 DNA copies/Ct 36	GEN-IAL/PB-137 ed. III z dnia 15.01.2016	Silica membrane spin technology/proteinase K/ 3- steps of DNA purification/ 1,80-2,0(A260/A280)/100 µl	Real time PCR; 45 cycles/105 Bp/Real time PCR; 45 cycles/ 82Bp/GEN-IAL reference material(maize- and soymix)	
2	12.07.17		0,001	In House Method	Magnetic Bead Extraction	Gel Electrophoresis	
3	14.07.17			M.I.114 Rev.0 2017	DNA extraction with commercial Kit	Real time PCR	
4			0,0001				
5	20.06.17		0,0001	in House Method	CTAB-NucleoSpin	RealTime-PCR, 45 cycles	
6	26.06.17			Biotecon	Biotecon foodproof Sample Preparation Kit S 400061; Biotecon foodproof GMO Screening Kit R 30217	RealTime PCR	
7	07.06.17	-	≤ 5 DNA-copies	SureFood® GMO SCREEN 4plex 35S/NOS/FMV+IAC Art. No. S2126	extraction mit SureFood® PREP Basic Art. No. S1052	-	-
8	04.07.17		<0,1%	in house method, Kit IEH-La- boratory	Silica-column based DNA-extraction	Multiplex-PCR, Gel electrophoresis, 35 cycles	
9			5 copies	Congen, Sure Food GMO Screen 35SNOS/FMV		Real Time PCR	
10	07.06.		5 copies	SureFood® GMO SCREEN 4plex 35S/NOS/FMV+IAC, S2126, R- Biopharm	SureFood® PREP Basic, S1052, R-Biopharm		
11	04.06.17	< or > 0.9%	5	R-Biopharm	NucleoSpinFood		sample 3 and 4: positive <0.9%
12	04.07.17	Promotor of Figwort Mosaic Virus (pFMV)	10 haploid genomic copies	ASU L 00.00-148 (2014-02)			
13	29/06	FMV	ct-value 45	GEN-IAL genControl RT Triplex IV 35S/nos/FMV/IC	Congen SureFood PREP Basic extraction kit	Real Time PCR, 45 Cycles, Reference material ERM- BF 410 dn	
14	09.06.17			GEN-IAL	FFS-Kit, Promega	Real Time PCR	
15			0,003% (für RR-Soya-DNA)	ASU 00.00 148 / 02 2014	modified CTAB-method with clean-up	RealTime PCR	
16	19.06.17	-	0,01	Biotecon - Screening LyoKit1+2	Biotecon Sample Prep.Kit3	Real Time PCR	Due to the unknown mactrices several events are possible
17							
18							
19							
20	05.06.17	FMV	0,001	CONGEN SureFood GMO Screen	Extracted using CONGEN Prep Advanced Kit	Real Time PCR - 45 Cycles	
21	06.06.17	Target-Sequence	50 Cyclen	Biotecon Diagnostics	foodproof Extraction Kit / Lyo Kit	foodproof Detection Kit, BIOTECON Diagnostics	
22			copies 10 / ct-value 40	in House Method		Real Time PCR	

### 5.1.4 CTP2-CP4 EPSPS-Screening Sequence

Evaluation number	Date of Analysis	Results given as	Limit of Detection	Test-Kit or Literature	Notes to Extraction	Notes to PCR-reaction	Further Remarks
CTP2-CP4 EPSPS	Day/Month	Target-Sequence / -DNA	number of copies / % / ct-value	Manufacturer / Official Method	e.g. Extraction / enzymes / clean-up / DNA quality / DNA amount	e.g real time PCR / gel electrophoresis / cycles / amplificate length / reference material	
1	07.12.17	CTP2-CP4 EPSPS	< 5 DNA copies/Ct 36	GEN-IAL/ PB-137 ed. III; 15.01.2016	Silica membrane spin technology/proteinase K/ 3- steps of DNA purification/ 1,8-2,0(A260/A280)/100 µl	Real time PCR; 45 cycles/ 88 Bp	
2							
3	14.07.17			M.I.114 Rev.0 2017	DNA extraction with commercial Kit	Real time PCR	
4			0,0001				
5	15.06.17		0,0001	Hausverfahren	CTAB-NucleoSpin	RealTime-PCR, 45 Zyklen	
6							
7	07.06.17	-	≤ 5 DNA-copies	SureFood® GMO SCREEN 4plex BAR/NPTII/PAT/CTP2:CP4 EPSPS Art. No. S2127	Extraction with SureFood® PREP Basic Art. No. S1052	-	-
8							
9							
10	07.06.		5 copies	SureFood® GMO SCREEN 4plex BAR/NPTII/PAT(CTP2:CP4EPSPS, S2127, R-Biopharm	SureFood® PREP Basic, S1052, R-Biopharm		
11							
12	04.07.17	Transition from CTP2 to CP4- EPSPS-Gene	10 haploid genomic copies	§64 LFGB L 00.00-125 (2009- 06)			
13							
14	12.06.17			GEN-IAL	FFS-Kit, Promega	Real Time PCR	
15							
16	19.06.17	-	0,01	Biotecon - Screening LyoKit1+2	Biotecon Sample Prep.Kit3	Real Time PCR	Due to the unknown mactrices several events are possible
17							
18							
19	08.06.17				CTAB	Real-Time PCR	
20	05.06.17	CTP2-CP4 EPSPS	0,001	CONGEN SureFood GMO Screen	Extracted using CONGEN Prep Advanced Kit	Real Time PCR - 45 Cycles	
21							
22							

### October 2017

# 5.1.5 GMO-Maize (Bt11)

Evaluation number	Date of Analysis	Results given as	Limit of Detection	Test-Kit or Literature	Notes to Extraction	Notes to PCR-reaction	Further Remarks
GMO-Maiz (Bt11)	Day/Month	Target-Sequence / -DNA	number of copies / % / ct-value	Manufacturer / Official Method	e.g. Extraction / enzymes / clean-up / DNA quality / DNA amount	e.g real time PCR / gel electrophoresis / cycles / amplificate length / reference material	
1	13.07.17	Bt11-corn (Agrisure CB)	< 0,1 %/Ct 36		Silica membrane spin technology/proteinase K/ 3- steps of DNA purification/ 1,80-2,0(A260/A280)/100 µl	Real time PCR; 45 cycles;	
2	12.07.17		0,001	In House Method	Magnetic Bead Extraction	Gel Electrophoresis	
3							
4			0,0001				
5	15.06.17		0,0001	in House Method	CTAB-NucleoSpin	RealTime-PCR, 45 cycles	
6							
7	07.06.17	-	≤ 5 DNA-copies	SureFood® GMO QUANT Bt11 Corn Art. No. S2016	Extraction with SureFood® PREP Basic Art. No. S1052	-	-
8							
9							
10							
11							
12							
13							
14	13.06.17			GEN-IAL	FFS-Kit, Promega	Real Time PCR	
15							
16							
17							
18							
19							
20							
21	06.06.17	Target-Sequence	50 cycles	Biotecon Diagnostics	foodproof Extraction Kit / Lyo Kit	foodproof Detection Kit, BIOTECON Diagnostics	
22							

#### October 2017

# 5.1.6 GMO-Maize (MIR604)

Evaluation number	Date of Analysis	Results given as	Limit of Detection	Test-Kit or Literature	Notes to Extraction	Notes to PCR-reaction	Further Remarks
GMO-Maize MIR604	Day/Month	Target-Sequence / -DNA	number of copies / % / ct-value	Manufacturer / Official Method	e.g. Extraction / enzymes / clean-up / DNA quality / DNA amount	e.g real time PCR / gel electrophoresis / cycles / amplificate length / reference material	
1							
2							
3							
4			0,0001				
5	15.06.17		0,0001	in House Method	CTAB-NucleoSpin	RealTime-PCR, 45 cycles	
6							
7	07.06.17	-	≤ 0,01 %	in House Method	Extraction with SureFood® PREP Basic Art. No. S1052	-	-
8							
9							
10							
11							
12							
13							
14	13.06.17			GEN-IAL	FFS-Kit, Promega	Real Time PCR	
15							
16							
17							
18							
19							
20							
21	06.06.17	Target-Sequence	50 Cycles	Biotecon Diagnostics	foodproof Extraction Kit / Lyo Kit	foodproof Detection Kit, BIOTECON Diagnostics	
22							

# 5.1.7 Maize-DNA (Maize-specific)

Evaluation number	Date of Analysis	Results given as	Limit of Detection	Test-Kit or Literature	Notes to Extraction	Notes to PCR-reaction	Further Remarks
Maize	Day/Month	Target-Sequence / -DNA	number of copies / % / ct-value	Manufacturer / Official Method	e.g. Extraction / enzymes / clean-up / DNA quality / DNA amount	e.g real time PCR / gel electrophoresis / cycles / amplificate length / reference material	
1	13.07.17	Corn-reference.	< 0,1 %/Ct 36	GEN-IAL/PB-168 ed. III; 15.01.2016	Silica membrane spin technology/proteinase K/ 3- steps of DNA purification/ 1,80-2,0(A260/A280)/100 µl	Real time PCR; 45 cycles/ MON810 ERM-BF413gk	
2	12.07.17	Invertase	0,001	In House Method	Magnetic Bead Extraction	Gel Electrophoresis	
3							
4			0,0001				
5	06.07.17		0,0001	in House Method	CTAB-NucleoSpin	RealTime-PCR, 45 cycles	
6							
7	07.06.17	-	≤ 4 mg/kg	SureFood® GMO Plant 4plex Corn/Soya/Canola+IAC Art. No. S2158	Extraction with SureFood® PREP Basic Art. No. S1052	-	-
8							
9							
10							
11							
12	29.06.17	Maize-specific DNA-Sequence	25 haploid genomic copies	§64 LFGB L 00.00-105 Annex C3 (2014-02)		hmg-Gene	samples 3+4 not suitable for analysis of gm maize due to less extractable of maize- specific DNA to amplify
13							
14	27.06.17			r-biopharm	FFS-Kit, Promega	Real Time PCR	
15							
16							
17							
18							
19	08.06.17				СТАВ	Real-Time PCR	
20							
21	06.06.17	Target-Sequence	50 cycles	Biotecon Diagnostics	foodproof Extraction Kit / Lyo Kit	foodproof Detection Kit, BIOTECON Diagnostics	
22							

#### October 2017

# DLA 33/2017 - GMO-Screening qualitative

5.1.8 GMO-Soya RR (GTS 40-3-2)

Evaluation number	Date of Analysis	Results given as	Limit of Detection	Test-Kit or Literature	Notes to Extraction	Notes to PCR-reaction	Further Remarks
GMO-Soya RR	Day/Month	Target-Sequence / -DNA	number of copies / % / ct-value	Manufacturer / Official Method	e.g. Extraction / enzymes / clean-up / DNA quality / DNA amount	e.g real time PCR / gel electrophoresis / cycles / amplificate length / reference material	
1	07.12.17	RoundapReady (GTS 40-3-2)	< 0,1 %/Ct 36	GEN-IAL/PB-168 ed. III; 15.01.2016	Silica membrane spin technology/proteinase K/ 3- steps of DNA purification/ 1,80-2,0(A260/A280)/100 µl	Real time PCR; 45 cycles/ RR 0,5% ERM BF410c	
2	13.07.17		0,0010	In House Method	Magnetic Bead Extraction	Gel Electrophoresis	
3							
4			0,0001				
5	15.06.17		0,0001	in House Method	CTAB-NucleoSpin	RealTime-PCR, 45 cycles	
6							
7	07.06.17	-	≤ 5 DNA-copies	SureFood® GMO ID Roundup Ready Soya Art. No. S2030	Extraction with SureFood® PREP Basic Art. No. S1052	-	-
8							
9							
10	06.07.			SureFood® GMO QUANT RR Soja, S2014, R-Biopharm	SureFood® PREP Basic, S1052, R-Biopharm		
11							
12	03.07.17	Soybean GTS 40-3-2 (Roundup Ready) genetically modified		§64 LFGB L 00.00-105 (2014- 02)		junction region between CTPGene and 35S-Promotor	
13	04.07.17			GEN-IAL genControl RT GMO Soy Kit	Congen SureFood PREP Basic Extraction kit	Real Time PCR, 45 cycles	
14	13.06.17			GEN-IAL	FFS-Kit, Promega	Real Time PCR	
15			0,05% (for RR-Soya-DNA)	ASU 00.00 105 / 12 2006	modified CTAB-method with clean-up	RealTime PCR	
16							
17							
18							
19							
20							
21	06.06.17	Target-Sequence	50 cycles	Biotecon Diagnostics	foodproof Extraction Kit / Lyo Kit	foodproof Detection Kit, BIOTECON Diagnostics	
22			copies 5 / ct-value 40	in House Method		Real Time PCR	

# 5.1.9 GMO-Soya RR2 (MON89788)

Evaluation number	Date of Analysis	Results given as	Limit of Detection	Test-Kit or Literature	Notes to Extraction	Notes to PCR-reaction	Further Remarks
GM O-Soya RR2	Day/Month	Target-Sequence / -DNA	number of copies / % / ct-value	Manufacturer / Official Method	e.g. Extraction / enzymes / clean-up / DNA quality / DNA amount	e.g real time PCR / gel electrophoresis / cycles / amplificate length / reference material	
1	07.12.17	RoundapReady2 (MON89788)	< 0,1 %/Ct 36	GEN-IAL/PB-168 ed. III; 15.01.2016	Silica membrane spin technology/proteinase K/ 3- steps of DNA purification/ 1,80-2,0(A260/A280)/100 µl	Real time PCR; 45 cycles/	
2							
3							
4			0,0001				
5	15.06.17		0,0001	in House Method	CTAB-NucleoSpin	RealTime-PCR, 45 cycles	
6					i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	· ·	
7	07.06.17	-	≤ 5 DNA-copies	SureFood® GMO ID RR2Y Soya Art. No. S2034	Extraction with SureFood® PREP Basic Art. No. S1052	-	-
8							
9							
10	06.07.		5 copies / < 0,1%	SureFood® GMO QUANT RR2Y Soja, S2029, R-Biopharm	SureFood® PREP Basic, S1052, R-Biopharm		
11							
12							
13	04.07.17			GEN-IAL genControl RT GMO Soy Kit	Congen SureFood PREP Basic extraction kit	Real Time PCR, 45 cycles	
14	13.06.17			GEN-IAL	FFS-Kit, Promega	Real Time PCR	
15			0,002% (für RR2-Soya-DNA)	JRC QT-EVE-GM-006	modified CTAB-method with clean-up	RealTime PCR	
16							
17							
18							
19							
20							
21	06.06.17	Target-Sequence	50 cycles	Biotecon Diagnostics	foodproof Extraction Kit / Lyo Kit	foodproof Detection Kit, BIOTECON Diagnostics	
22			copies 5 / ct-Wert 40	in House Method		Real Time PCR	

# 5.1.10 Lectin DNA (Soya-specific)

Evaluation number	Date of Analysis	Results given as	Limit of Detection	Test-Kit or Literature	Notes to Extraction	Notes to PCR-reaction	Further Remarks
Lectin	Day/Month	Target-Sequence / -DNA	number of copies / % / ct-value	Manufacturer / Official Method	e.g. Extraction / enzymes / clean-up / DNA quality / DNA amount	e.g real time PCR / gel electrophoresis / cycles / amplificate length / reference material	
1	07.12.17	soy-lectin	< 0,1 %/Ct 36	GEN-IAL/PB-168 ed. III; 15.01.2016	Silica membrane spin technology/proteinase K/ 3- steps of DNA purification/ 1,80-2,0(A260/A280)/100 µl	Real time PCR; 45 cycles/RR 0,5% ERMBF410c	
2	13.07.17		0,001	In House Method	Magnetic Bead Extraction	Gel Electrophoresis	
3							
4							
5	06.07.17		0,0001	in House Method	CTAB-NucleoSpin	RealTime-PCR, 45 cycles	
6							
7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
8							
9							
10							
11							
12	29.06.17	Soya-specific DNA Sequence		§64 LFGB L 00-00-105 Annex B1 (2014-02)			
13	04.07.17			GEN-IAL genControl RT GMO Soy Kit	Congen SureFood PREP Basic Extraktionskit	Real Time PCR, 45 Cycles	
14	27.06.17			r-biopharm	FFS-Kit, Promega	Real Time PCR	
15			0,015 (for Soya-DNA)	ASU 00.00 105 / 12 2006	modifiziertes CTAB-Verfahren mit clean-up	RealTime PCR	
16							
17							
18							
19	08.06.17				СТАВ	Real-Time PCR	
20							
21							
22							

# 5.1.11 Other DNA-Sequences

Parameter	Evaluation number	Date of Analysis	Results given as	Limit of Detection	Test-Kit or Literature	Notes to Extraction	Notes to PCR-reaction	Further Remarks
		Day/Month	Target-Sequence / -DNA	number of copies / % / ct-value	Manufacturer / Official Method	e.g. Extraction / enzymes / clean-up / DNA quality / DNA amount	e.g real time PCR / gel electrophoresis / cycles / amplificate length / reference material	
AgroBorder II	9			10 copies	GenScran, GMO Screen RT 35S/NOS/ABII IPC		Real Time PCR	
BAR	3a	14.07.17			M.I.114 Rev.0 2017	DNA extraction with commercial Kit	Real time PCR	
bar	5a	05.07.17		0,01%	in House Method	CTAB-NucleoSpin	RealTime-PCR, 45 cycles	
BAR	10a	07.06.		5 copies	SureFood® GMO SCREEN 4plex BAR/NPTII/PAT(CTP2:CP4EPSPS, S2127, R-Biopharm	SureFood® PREP Basic, S1052, R-Biopharm		
bar	12	03.07.17	bar Gen	10 haploid genomic copies	§64 LFGB L 00.00-124 (2008- 12)			
BAR	20a	05.06.17	BAR	0,10%	CONGEN SureFood GMO Screen	Extracted using CONGEN Prep Advanced Kit	Real Time PCR - 45 Cycles	
cry1A(b/c)	5b	05.07.17		0,01%	in House Method	CTAB-NucleoSpin	RealTime-PCR, 45 cycles	
CrylAb/Ac	12	04.07.17	cry1Ab/cry1Ac DNA- Sequenzen	5 haploid genomic copies	§64 LFGB L 15.06-3 (2013- 08)			
CV127	5c	11.07.17		0,01%	in House Method	CTAB-NucleoSpin	RealTime-PCR, 45 cycles	
DAS40278	5d	11.07.17		0,01%	in House Method	CTAB-NucleoSpin	RealTime-PCR, 45 cycles	
DP32138	5e	13.07.17		0,01%	in House Method	CTAB-NucleoSpin	RealTime-PCR, 45 cycles	
LY038	5f	11.07.17		0,01%	in House Method	CTAB-NucleoSpin	RealTime-PCR, 45 cycles	
Mon810	10b	07.07.		5 copies / < 0,1%	SureFood® GMO QUANT MON810 Corn, S2019, R-Biopharm	SureFood® PREP Basic, S1052, R-Biopharm		
MON87427	5g	05.07.17		0,01%	in House Method	CTAB-NucleoSpin	RealTime-PCR, 45 cycles	
MON87751	5h	13.07.17		0,01%	in House Method	CTAB-NucleoSpin	RealTime-PCR, 45 cycles	
MON88017	5i	05.07.17		0,01%	in House Method	CTAB-NucleoSpin	RealTime-PCR, 45 cycles	
NK603	5j	05.07.17		0,01%	in House Method	CTAB-NucleoSpin	RealTime-PCR, 45 cycles	
NPTII	3b	14.07.17			M.I.114 Rev.0 2017	DNA extraction with commercial Kit	Real time PCR	
NPTII	10c	07.06.		5 copies	SureFood® GMO SCREEN 4plex BAR/NPTII/PAT(CTP2:CP4EPSPS, S2127, R-Biopharm	SureFood® PREP Basic, S1052, R-Biopharm		
NPTII	20b	05.06.17	NPTII	0,10%	CONGEN SureFood GMO Screen	Extracted using CONGEN Prep Advanced Kit	Real Time PCR - 45 Cycles	
PAT	3c	14.07.17			M.I.114 Rev.0 2017	DNA extraction with commercial Kit	Real time PCR	
PAT	10d	07.06.		5 copies	SUITEFOOD® GMO SCREEN 4 plex BAR/NPTII/PAT(CTP2:CP4EPSPS, S2127 B Biopharm	SureFood® PREP Basic, S1052, R-Biopharm		
PAT	20c	05.06.17	PAT	0,10%	CONGEN SureFood GMO Screen	Extracted using CONGEN Prep Advanced Kit	Real Time PCR - 45 Cycles	
Planzen-DNA	15			0,02% (für Mais-DNA)	ASU 40.00 14 / 07 2012	modified CTAB-method with clean-up	RealTime PCR	
SAMS-HRA	5k	05.07.17		0,01%	in House Method	CTAB-NucleoSpin	RealTime-PCR, 45 cycles	
synPAT	51	15.06.17		0,01%	in House Method	CTAB-NucleoSpin	RealTime-PCR, 45 cycles	
Т25	10e	07.07.		5 copies / < 0,1%	SureFood® GMO QUANT T25 Corn S2017, R-Biopharm	SureFood® PREP Basic, S1052, R-Biopharm		

# 5.2 Homogeneity

# 5.2.1 Mixture homogeneity before bottling

#### Microtracer Homogeneity Test

#### DLA 33-2017 Sample 1

Weight whole sample	1,00	kg
Microtracer	FSS-rot lake	
Particle size	75 – 300	μm
Weight per particle	2,0	μg
Addition of tracer	26,9	mg/kg

#### Result of analysis

Sample	Weight [g]	Particle	Particles
Campio	11 oigin [9]	number	[mg/kg]
1	5,09	105	41,3
2	5,01	97	38,7
3	5,21	101	38,8
4	5,06	92	36,4
5	5,05	105	41,6
6	5,02	102	40,6
7	5,05	100	39,6
8	5,01	103	41,1

Poisson distribution		
Number of samples	8	
Degree of freedom	7	
Mean	101	Particles
Standard deviation	4,47	Particles
χ <sup>2</sup> (CHI-Quadrat)	1,39	
Probability	99	%
Recovery rate	148	%

Normal distribution		
Number of samples	8	
Mean	39,8	mg/kg
Standard deviation	1,76	mg/kg
rel. Standard deviaton	4,44	%
Horwitz standard deviation	9,19	%
HorRat-value	0,48	
Recovery rate	148	%

# Microtracer Homogeneity Test

1,00	kg
FSS-rot lake	
75 – 300	μm
2,0	μg
20,5	mg/kg
	FSS-rot lake 75 – 300 2,0

#### Result of analysis

Sample	Weight [g]	Particle	Particles
Sample	weight [g]	number	[mg/kg]
1	5,14	50	19,5
2	4,96	61	24,6
3	5,08	54	21,3
4	4,97	63	25,4
5	5,15	51	19,8
6	5,08	65	25,6
7	5,09	55	21,6
8	5,06	55	21,7

Poisson distribution		
Number of samples	8	
Degree of freedom	7	
Mean	56,8	Particles
Standard deviation	6,17	Particles
χ <sup>2</sup> (CHI-Quadrat)	4,68	
Probability	70	%
Recovery rate	109	%

Normal distribution		
Number of samples	8	
Mean	22,4	mg/kg
Standard deviation	2,43	mg/kg
rel. Standard deviaton	10,9	%
Horwitz standard deviation	10,0	%
HorRat-value	1,1	
Recovery rate	109	%

Reprint, also in part, only with written permission from DLA-Ahrensburg Page 33 of 38

#### Microtracer Homogeneity Test

DLA 33-2017 Sample 3		
Weight whole sample	1,00	kg
Microtracer	FSS-rot lake	
Particle size	75 – 300	μm
Weight per particle	2,0	μg
Addition of tracer	24,2	mg/kg

#### Result of analysis

Sample	Weight [g]	Particle number	Particles [mg/kg]
1	5,03	61	24,3
2	5,00	68	27,2
3	5,15	63	24,5
4	4,99	67	26,9
5	4,97	60	24,1
6	5,00	66	26,4
7	5,06	71	28,1
8	5,01	66	26,3

Poisson distribution		
Number of samples	8	
Degree of freedom	7	
Mean	65,3	Particles
Standard deviation	3,74	Particles
χ <sup>2</sup> (CHI-Quadrat)	1,50	
Probability	98	%
Recovery rate	107	%

Normal distribution		
Number of samples	8	
Mean	26,0	mg/kg
Standard deviation	1,49	mg/kg
rel. Standard deviaton	5,74	%
Horwitz standard deviation	9,80	%
HorRat-value	0,59	
Recovery rate	107	%

#### Microtracer Homogeneity Test

DLA 33-2017 Sample 4		
Weight whole sample	1,00	kg
Microtracer	FSS-rot lake	
Particle size	75 – 300	μm
Weight per particle	2,0	μg
Addition of tracer	30,9	mg/kg

#### Result of analysis

Sample Weight	Woight [g]	Particle	Particles
	weight [g]	number	[mg/kg]
1	4,97	90	36,2
2	5,21	84	32,2
3	5,10	86	33,7
4	5,05	90	35,6
5	5,09	82	32,2
6	5,07	84	33,1
7	5,06	76	30,0
8	5,06	90	35,6

Poisson distribution		
Number of samples	8	
Degree of freedom	7	
Mean	85,3	Particles
Standard deviation	5,39	Particles
χ <sup>2</sup> (CHI-Quadrat)	2,38	
Probability	94	%
Recovery rate	109	%

Normal distribution		
Number of samples	8	
Mean	33,6	mg/kg
Standard deviation	2,12	mg/kg
rel. Standard deviaton	6,32	%
Horwitz standard deviation	9,43	%
HorRat-value	0,67	
Recovery rate	109	%

#### 5.3 Information on the Proficiency Test (PT)

Before the PT the participants received the following information in the sample cover letter:

PT number	DLA 33-2017
PT name	GMO-Screening qualitative: 5 Samples with positive/negative amounts of Screening Targets 35S, NOS, FMV, CTP2-CP4 EPSPS / GMO-Maize (Bt11, MIR604) and GMO-Soya (RR GTS 40-3-2, RR2 MON89788)
Sample matrix*	Five different Samples: possible ingredients: Products of soybean, maize and wheat flour and semolina
Number of samples and sample amount	Five different samples, 10 g each.
Storage	Samples: dry and dark at room temperature (long term cooled 2 - 10°C)
Intentional use	Laboratory use only (quality control samples)
Parameter	qualitative: Target sequences 35S, NOS, FMV, CTP2-CP4 EPSPS as well as GMO-maize (Bt11, MIR604) and GMO-soya (RR GTS 40-3-2, RR2 MON89788)
Methods of analysis	Analytical methods are optional
Notes to analysis	The analysis of PT samples should be performed like a routine laboratory analysis. In general we recommend to homogenize a representative sample amount before analysis according to good laboratory practice, especially in case of low sample weights.
Result sheet	One result each should be determined for Samples 1-5 per parameter and filled in the result submission file
Units	positive / negative (limit of detection: copies or percentage)
Number of significant digits	only qualitative
Further information	Further information can be given in the result submission file.
Result submission	The result submission file should be sent by e-mail to: <b>pt@dla-lvu.de</b>
Deadline	the latest <u>14<sup>th</sup> July 2017</u>
Evaluation report	The evaluation report is expected to be completed 6 weeks after deadline of result submission and sent as PDF file by e-mail.
Coordinator and contact person of PT	Dr. Matthias Besler

\* Control of mixture homogeneity and qualitative testings are carried out by DLA. Testing of the content, homogeneity and stability of PT parameters is subcontracted by DLA.

# 6. Index of participant laboratories

Teilnehmer / Participant	Ort / Town	Land / Country
		Germany
		AUSTRIA
		Germany
		Germany
		Germany
		ITALY
		Germany
		Germany
		Germany
		FRANCE
		Germany
		POLAND
		Germany
		Germany
		BELGIUM
		GREAT BRITAIN
		Germany
		GREAT BRITAIN

[Die Adressdaten der Teilnehmer wurden für die allgemeine Veröffentlichung des Auswerte-Berichts nicht angegeben.]

[The address data of the participants were deleted for publication of the evaluation report.]

# 7. Index of references

- DIN EN ISO/IEC 17025:2005; Allgemeine Anforderungen an die Kompetenz von Prüf- und Kalibrierlaboratorien / General requirements for the competence of testing and calibration laboratories
- 2. DIN EN ISO/IEC 17043:2010; Konformitätsbewertung Allgemeine Anforderungen an Eignungsprüfungen / Conformity assessment - General requirements for proficiency testing
- 3. ISO 13528:2015 & DIN ISO 13528:2009; Statistische Verfahren für Eignungsprüfungen durch Ringversuche / Statistical methods for use in proficiency testing by interlaboratory comparisons
- 4. ASU §64 LFGB: Planung und statistische Auswertung von Ringversuchen zur Methodenvalidierung / DIN ISO 5725 series part 1, 2 and 6 Accuracy (trueness and precision) of measurement methods and results
- 5. Verordnung / Regulation 882/2004/EU; Verordnung über über amtliche Kontrollen zur Überprüfung der Einhaltung des Lebensmittel- und Futtermittelrechts sowie der Bestimmungen über Tiergesundheit und Tierschutz / Regulation on official controls performed to ensure the verification of compliance with feed and food law, animal health and animal welfare rules
- 6. Evaluation of analytical methods used for regulation of food and drugs; W. Horwitz; Analytical Chemistry, 54, 67-76 (1982)
- 7. The International Harmonised Protocol for the Proficiency Testing of Ananlytical Laboratories ; J.AOAC Int., 76(4), 926 940 (1993)
- 8. A Horwitz-like funktion describes precision in proficiency test; M. Thompson, P.J. Lowthian; Analyst, 120, 271-272 (1995)
- 9. Protocol for the design, conduct and interpretation of method performance studies; W. Horwitz; Pure & Applied Chemistry, 67, 331-343 (1995)
- 10.Recent trends in inter-laboratory precision at ppb and sub-ppb concentrations in relation to fitness for purpose criteria in proficiency testing; M. Thompson; Analyst, 125, 385-386 (2000)
- 11. The International Harmonised Protocol for the Proficiency Testing of Analytical Chemistry Laboratories; Pure Appl Chem, 78, 145 - 196 (2006)
- 12.AMC Kernel Density Representing data distributions with kernel density estimates, amc technical brief, Editor M Thompson, Analytical Methods Committee, AMCTB No 4, Revised March 2006 and Excel Add-in Kernel.xla 1.0e by Royal Society of Chemistry
- 13.EURACHEM/CITAC Leitfaden, Ermittlung der Messunsicherheit bei analytischen Messungen (2003); Quantifying Uncertainty in Analytical Measurement (1999)
- 14.GMP+ Feed Certification scheme, Module: Feed Safety Assurance, chapter 5.7 Checking procedure for the process accuracy of compound feed with micro tracers in GMP+ BA2 Control of residues, Version: 1st of January 2015 GMP+ International B.V.
- 15.MTSE SOP No. 010.01 (2014): Quantitative measurement of mixing uniformity and carry-over in powder mixtures with the rotary detector technique, MTSE Micro Tracers Services Europe GmbH
- 16.
- 17.European Network of GMO Laboratories, Definition of Minimum Performance Requirements for Analytical Methods of GMO Testing, Version 20-10-2015
- 18.JRC Technical Report, European technical guidance document for the flexible scope accreditation of laboratories quantifying GMOs, Trapmann et al. (2014, 2<sup>nd</sup> Version)
- 19.JRC Scientific Technical Report, Overview on the detection, interpretation and reporting on the presence of unauthorised genetically modified materials Prepared by the ENGL *ad hoc* working group on "unauthorised GMOS", December 2011
- 20.ALS-Stellungnahme, Untersuchung auf gentechnisch veränderte Lebensmittel (2007/43) Stellungnahme des Arbeitskreises Lebensmittelchemischer Sachverständiger der Länder und des Bundesamtes für Verbraucherschutz und Lebensmittelsicherheit (ALS) Beschluss 89. Sitzung, 27./28. März 2007 [Opinion on Analysis of genetically modified foods, working group of

Reprint, also in part, only with written permission from DLA-Ahrensburg Page 37 of 38 german food chemistry experts]

- 21.Powell J, Owen L, Reliability of food measurements: the application of proficiency testing to GMO analysis, Accred Qual. Assur. 7, 392-402 (2002)
- 22. Thompson M, GMO Proficiency testing: Interpreting z-scores derived from log-transformed data, amc technical brief, No. 18 Dec 2004
- 23.Thompson M et al., Scoring in Genetically Modified Organism Proficiency Tests Based on Log-Transformed Results, J. AOAC Int., 89(1), 232-239 (2006)
- 24.Žel J et al., Calculation of Measurement Uncertainty in Quantitative Analysis of Genetically Modified Organisms Using Intermediate Precision A Practical Approach, J. AOAC Int., 90(2), 582-586 (2007)
- 25.Screening-Tabelle für den GVO-Nachweis, BVL Bundesamt für Verbraucherschutz und Lebensmittelsicherheit, 26.05.2015 [Screening table for GMO-detection]
- 26.Leitlinien zur Einzellabor-Validierung qualitativer real-time PCR Methoden, BVL - Bundesamt für Verbraucherschutz und Lebensmittelsicherheit, 2016 [Guidelines for single laboratory validation of qualitative realtime PCR methods, Federal Office of Cosumer Protection and Food Safety, 2016]